United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/804,851	03/13/2001	Sarat C. Sankaran	1285.013US1	2552
21186 7590 08/10/2007 SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG & WOESSNER, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			EXAMINER	
			KESACK, DANIEL	
MINNEAPOL	18, MN 55402	Sarat C. Sankaran 1285.013US1 7/2007 WOESSNER, P.A.	PAPER NUMBER	
			3691	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/804,851	SANKARAN ET AL.			
Office A	ction Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	•	Dan Kesack	3691			
The MAILING Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 May 2007.					
<i>'</i> —	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in acc	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		•				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-35 and 38-40</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· <u> </u>	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-35 and 38-40</u> is/are rejected.					
· <u> </u>	is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s	s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	•					
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References		4) Interview Summary				
· —	n's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F				
3) Information Disclosure Paper No(s)/Mail Date	e Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

1. Response to Election / Restriction filed May 11, 2007 has been entered. Claims 21-35, and 38-40 are currently pending. The rejections are as stated below.

Election/Restrictions

Claims 36 and 37 withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on May 11, 2007.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 09/804,851

Art Unit: 3691

4. Claims 21, 23, 24, 29-31, 33, 34, and 38-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Their et al., U.S. Patent No. 7,130,822.

Claims 21, 38-40, Their discloses a budget planning system and method comprising:

receiving first data input that specifies a spending capacity for at least a portion of the organization (column 2 lines 54-66 – financial planning models and corporate targets), and creating and storing the spending capacity data in a public area, wherein the spending capacity data defines the spending capacity based on the first data input data (column 3 lines 1-5 – target data is stored within budget planning system, and stored in templates which are accessible by everyone in the hierarchy)

receiving second data input that specifies one or more planned expense allocations for the portion of the organization (column 3 lines 4-10 – contributors enter detailed forecast information forecasting expenses), and creating and storing planned expense data in a private area wherein the planned expense data defines the one or more planned expense allocations based on the second input data (column 4 lines 59-62, column 5 lines 56-58 – contributor saves template and data cube to budgeting system and column 6 lines 41-47 – completed templates are stored in private area where they are only accessible by a user who is higher in the hierarchy),

determining whether the planned expense data satisfies a criterion that is based on the spending capacity data and storing the planned expense data in the public area

Application/Control Number: 09/804,851

Art Unit: 3691

only when the planned expense data satisfies the criterion (column 5 line 62 - column 6

line 5).

Claim 23, Their teaches the portion of the organization is a department selected

by user input from among a plurality of departments of a business (the analyst

inherently selects an outlet when the analyst defines a template for that outlet), and the

department is associated with one or more financial plans that are created and stored in

the private area based on user input from a business manager of the department

(column 3 lines 58-66).

Claims 24, 30, Their teaches developing an object that is related to financial

activity of the portion of the organization (column 5 lines 12-15 - nodes), monitoring the

object to identify financial activity in the portion of the organization (column 6 lines 48-58

nodes are monitored for completion), wherein creating the planned expense data is

carried out based on the financial activity that is identified from monitoring the object

(column 7 lines 9-20).

Claim 29. Their teaches rejecting forecast information and sending the template

back to a contributor for modification, upon with the information is changed and saved

back to the private area (column 7 lines 56-60).

Art Unit: 3691

Claims 31, 33, in addition to the limitations cited above regarding claim 21, Their further teaches hierarchical levels where private areas are only accessible by certain levels, representing the organization, and various sub-organizations, and where each level has its own resource capacity (figure 4).

Claim 34, Their teaches the hierarchical level is associated with at least one spend account (figure 5 - each hierarchical level is given a corporate target).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 3691

7. Claims 22, 25-28, and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Their, as applied to claim 21, 31, above.

Claim 22, Their teaches the organization is a business (i.e. Pizza Palace, Inc), the portion of the organization is a department selected by user input from among a plurality of departments of the business (the analyst inherently selects an outlet when the analyst defines a template for that outlet), the department is associated with at least one spend account (the outlet has a budget).

Their fails to teach the spending capacity is a limit on spending by the department and the criterion is satisfied only when a sum associated with the planned expense data does not exceed the spending capacity.

The missing features are obvious next steps to the teachings of Their. It is known in the art of financial planning that the purpose of a budget is to set spending limits. Their teaches rejecting forecast data in view of target data. While it is not explicitly stated, one of ordinary skill in the art would assume forecast data would only be rejected if the forecasted expenses are more than the target expenses.

Claims 25-28, 32, Their fails to teach receiving a request to modify the spending capacity for the portion of the organization, determining whether the request is allowable, and only when the request is allowable, updating the first data that is stored in the public area to reflect the request to modify the resource capacity for the portion of the organization.

Art Unit: 3691

Official Notice is taken that requesting budget increases, which is different from an original budget amount, is old and well known in the art. Their teaches rejecting forecast data in view of target data. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the Applicant's invention to modify the teachings of Their to include requesting an increase in the target data because a contributor entering forecast data may have a reason for differing from the target data which is unforeseen by the reviewer of the budget information. It is desirable that the contributor have a mechanism for requesting different target data. Furthermore, Their teaches a mechanism for sending messages indicating review status, and it would be obvious to include the request and response within these status identifications for the reasons given above.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dan Kesack whose telephone number is 571-272-5882. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski can be reached on 571-272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/804,851 Page 8

Art Unit: 3691

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Daniel Kesack Art Unit 3691 August 3, 2006

> HANI M. KAZIMI PRIMARY EXAMINER